arXiv:math/0204177v3 [math.AG] 6 Sep 2002

ON THE STRUCTURE OF μ-CLASSES

CARLOS D' ANDREA

ABSTRACT. We prove that, if $\mu < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then every rational parametrization of degree n and class μ is a limit of parametrizations of the same degree and class $\mu + 1$. This property was conjectured in [5], and its validity allows an explicit description of the variety of parametrizations of degree n and class μ, for all (n, μ).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Consider three polynomials $a = a(t), b = b(t), c = c(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$, of respective degrees n_a, n_b, n_c, such that $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. If $c \neq 0$, then

$$x = \frac{a(t)}{c(t)}, \ y = \frac{b(t)}{c(t)} \tag{1}$$

is the parametrization of a rational function. Parametrizations of this form play an important role in computer-aided geometric design (see for instance [4, Chapter 6]).

A basic object in this area is the syzygy module of the triple (a, b, c) :

$$Syz(a, b, c) := \{(A, B, C) \in \mathbb{K}[t]^3 : \ Aa + Bb + Cc = 0\}.$$

The degree of a vector of polynomials of the form (A, B, C) is defined as $\max\{\deg(A), \deg(B), \deg(C)\}$. Let $n = \max\{n_1, n_b, n_c\}$, and set

$$\mu(a, b, c) := \min\{\deg(A, B, C), \ (A, B, C) \in Syz(a, b, c) \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

This number is called the *class* of the triple (a, b, c). It may be regarded as an invariant of the parametric curve (1), which provides information about how to implicitize it (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]). It is well known (see [4, 5]) that $\mu \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$. Moreover, the upper bound holds for generic curves of degree n.

In a more general setting, for a given positive integer n, let $\mathbb{K}[t]_n$ denote the vector space of all polynomials in t of degree $\leq n$, and let $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathbb{K}[t]_n^3$ be the subset of $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{K}[t]_n^3$ such that $g \neq 0$, $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ and $n = \deg(a, b, c)$. $\mathcal{P}_n$ may be regarded as the set of all parametric equations of planar rational curves or degree n.

For every $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_n^\mu := \{(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_n : \mu(a,b,c) = \mu\}.$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n^0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_n^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. In [5, Theorem 1], it is shown that, for every $0 \leq \mu \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{P}_n^\mu$, denoted $\overline{\mathcal{P}_n^\mu}$, is an irreducible variety of dimension $2n+2\mu+4$ if $\mu < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, or $3n+3$ if $\mu = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

In that paper, it is shown that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_n^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}} = \mathcal{P}_n^0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, and it was conjectured that, for every $\mu \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}_n^\mu} = \mathcal{P}_n^0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}^\mu. \tag{2}$$

As stated in [5], due to the irreducibility of $\mathcal{P}_n^\mu$, this is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 1.1. *If $\mu < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then every parametrization of class μ is a limit of parametrizations of class $\mu+1$.*

The main result of this paper is a positive answer to this question. This is our main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let ϵ be a new variable. If $\mu < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then, for any $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_n^\mu$, there exists $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) \in \mathbb{K}[\epsilon,t]^3$ such that, as univariate polynomials in $\mathbb{K}(\epsilon)[t]$, they satisfy the following conditions:*

- $\gcd(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = 1$;
- $n = \max\{\deg(a_\epsilon), \deg(b_\epsilon), \deg(c_\epsilon)\}$,
- $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \mu(a,b,c) + 1$,
- $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} = (a,b,c)$.

Definition 1.3. *A triple $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) \in \mathbb{K}[\epsilon,t]^3$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 will be called an approximating sequence for (a,b,c).*

We may regard approximating sequences as deformations of (a,b,c) which "converge" to the latter when $\epsilon \to 0$. As an immediate result of our construction, we get that Conjecture 1.1 is true, so the equality given in (2) holds for every $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

In the following section we present some auxiliary results, which will allow us to put a given triple $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_n^\mu$ in a "generic situation". In Section 3, we will construct the approximating sequence for this generic situation and prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Auxiliary Results

In this section we will show that, in order to have some genericity, we may replace (a,b,c) with $(a+\lambda b, b, c)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. This change "preserves" approximating sequences.

The following properties will be useful in the sequel:

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). *There exists unique polynomials* $p_x, p_y, p_w, q_x, q_y, q_w \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ *such that*

(1) $\deg(p_x, p_y, p_w) = \mu$, $\deg(q_x, q_y, q_w) = n - \mu$;
(2) $a = p_y q_w - p_w q_y$, $b = p_w q_x - p_x q_w$, $c = p_x q_y - p_y q_x$;
(3) *Every syzygy* $(A, B, C) \in Syz(a, b, c)$ *can be written uniquely in the form*

$$(A, B, C) = h_1(p_x, p_y, p_w) + h_2(q_x, q_y, q_w),$$

with $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ *with* $\deg(h_1) \leq \deg(A, B, C) - \mu$ *and* $\deg(h_2) \leq \deg(A, B, C) + \mu - n$.

Corollary 2.2. $\gcd(p_x, p_y, p_w) = 1$.

Proof. As $\frac{(p_x, p_y, p_w)}{\gcd(p_x, p_y, p_w)} \in Syz(a, b, c)$, it turns out that, if the degree of the gcd is positive, we would have a syzygy of degree strictly less than $\mu(a, b, c)$ which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.3. *For all but finitely many* $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, $\gcd(p_y - \lambda p_x, p_w) = 1$.

Proof. As the number of roots of p_w is finite, if the claim does not hold, then there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $p_w(t_0) = 0$ and $p_y(t_0) - \lambda p_x(t_0) = 0$ for infinitely many values of λ. This implies that $p_y(t_0) = p_x(t_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction with the corollary. □

Lemma 2.4. *For every* $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, $\mu(a, b, c) = \mu(a + \lambda b, b, c)$.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that the morphism $\mathbb{K}[t]^3 \to \mathbb{K}[t]^3$ given by $(A, B, C,) \mapsto (A + \lambda B, B, C)$ gives an isomorphism of syzygy modules which preserves the degree filtration. To be more precise, for a fixed λ, if we denote with $p_x^\lambda, p_y^\lambda, \ldots, q_w^\lambda$ the polynomials generating the syzygies of $(a + \lambda b, b, c)$, then it is straightforward to check that

$$p_x^\lambda = p_x,\ p_w^\lambda = p_w,\ q_x^\lambda = q_x,\ q_w^\lambda = q_w,\ p_y^\lambda = p_y - \lambda p_x,\ q_y^\lambda = a_y - \lambda q_x.$$

So, we have that $\deg(p_x^\lambda, p_y^\lambda, p_w^\lambda) = \mu(a, b, c)$. Due to Theorem 2.1, this value characterizes $\mu(a + \lambda b, b, c)$. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. *If there exists an approximating sequence for* $(a + \lambda b, b, c)$, *then there exists an approximating sequence for* (a, b, c).

Proof. Let $(\tilde{a_\epsilon}, \tilde{b_\epsilon}, \tilde{c_\epsilon}) \in \mathbb{K}[\epsilon, t]^3$, be an approximating sequence for $(a + \lambda b, b, c)$ We will show that, if we define

$$a_\epsilon := \tilde{a_\epsilon} - \lambda \tilde{b_\epsilon},\ b_\epsilon := \tilde{b_\epsilon},\ c_\epsilon := \tilde{c_\epsilon},$$

we get an approximating sequence for (a,b,c). From the properties of $\tilde{a_\epsilon}, \tilde{b_\epsilon}, \tilde{c_\epsilon}$, it is easy to check that

$$\gcd(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = 1,\ n = \max\{\deg(a_\epsilon), \deg(b_\epsilon), \deg(c_\epsilon)\}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \mu(\tilde{a_\epsilon}, \tilde{b_\epsilon}, \tilde{c_\epsilon}) = \mu(a+\lambda b, b, c) = \mu(a,b,c)+1,$$

and it is straightforward to see that $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} = (a,b,c)$. □

3. Construction of the Approximating Sequence

In this section we will fix $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_n^\mu$ such that $\mu+1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and will construct an approximating sequence for $(a+\lambda b, b, c)$ for an appropiate $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Proposition 2.5 implies that there exists an approximating sequence for (a,b,c).

Suppose w.l.o.g. that $\deg(a)$ is positive. We will consider a family $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon)$ of the form

$$a_\epsilon := a(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{t-\alpha}),\ b_\epsilon := b,\ c_\epsilon := c, \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ is a root of a having properties to be described shortly. It is clear that, as ϵ goes to zero, the deformed family converges to (a,b,c). Moreover, it is straightforward to check that $n(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = n(a,b,c)$ and that $\gcd(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \gcd(a,b,c) = 1$. In order to have $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \mu(a,b,c)+1$, we choose α such that $a(\alpha) = 0$ and either $p_y(\alpha) \neq 0$ or $p_w(\alpha) \neq 0$.

It is not true that the latter condition can always be acomplished as the following cautionary example shows.

Example 3.1. Set $p_x = q_w = t$, $p_y = p_w = t-1$, $q_x = t^4, q_y = 1$. Then, $a = (t-1)^2$, $b = t^5 - t^4 - t^2$, $c = -t^5 + t^4 + t$, and we have that there is a unique root of a which is also the same root of p_y and p_w.

If we are in the situation that every root of a is also a root of p_y and p_w, then we will construct an approximating sequence for $(a+\lambda b, b, c)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $\deg(a+\lambda b) > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *There is $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\deg(a+\lambda b) > 0$, and $a+\lambda b$ has a root α such that either $p_y^\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0$ or $p_w^\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0$.*

Proof. If every root of a is a root of p_y and p_w, then pick one of those λ satisfying Lemma 2.3, and denote, as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, with $p_x^\lambda, p_y^\lambda, \ldots, q_w^\lambda$ the polynomials generating the syzygies of $(a+\lambda b, b, c)$, we get that $p_y^\lambda = p_y - \lambda p_x$, and $p_w^\lambda = p_w$.

As $\gcd(p_y^\lambda, p_w^\lambda) = \gcd(p_y - \lambda p_x, p_w) = 1$ by Lemma 2.3, then any root of $a+\lambda b$ may be chosen provided that $\deg(a+\lambda b)$ is positive.

In order to see the latter, let $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ both satisfying Lemma 2.3. If $a + \lambda_1 b$ and $a + \lambda_2 b$ were both constant, then so would a and b. $\square$

Proof of the Main Theorem. We may suppose then, w.l.o.g. that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ such that is a root of a but is not a common root of p_y and p_w.

Due to the remarks made at the beginning of this section, it only remains to prove that $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \mu + 1$. First, observe that

$$p_x(t-\alpha)a_\epsilon + p_y(t-\alpha+\epsilon)b_\epsilon + p_w(t-\alpha+\epsilon)c_\epsilon = (t-\alpha+\epsilon)(p_x a + p_y b + p_w c) = 0,$$

so $((t-\alpha)p_x, (t-\alpha+\epsilon)p_y, (t-\alpha+\epsilon)p_w)$ is a nontrivial syzygy on $(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon)$ and we deduce that $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) \leq \mu(a, b, c) + 1$.

Suppose that the inequality holds strictly. Then, there must be (A, B, C) of degree bounded by μ such that $Aa_\epsilon + Bb_\epsilon + Cc_\epsilon = 0$. As

$$\begin{aligned} &A(t-\alpha+\epsilon)a + B(t-\alpha)b + C(t-\alpha)c = \\ &A(t-\alpha)\tfrac{t-\alpha+\epsilon}{t-\alpha}a + B(t-\alpha)b + C(t-\alpha)c = \\ &A(t-\alpha)a_\epsilon + B(t-\alpha)b_\epsilon + C(t-\alpha)c_\epsilon = (t-\alpha)(Aa_\epsilon + Bb_\epsilon + Cc_\epsilon) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have that $(A(t-\alpha+\epsilon), B(t-\alpha), C(t-\alpha)) \in Syz(a, b, c)$, and it is a nontrivial syzygy of degree bounded by $\mu + 1$. So, due to the last item of Theorem 2.1, there exists $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ such that

$$(A(t-\alpha+\epsilon), B(t-\alpha), C(t-\alpha)) = h_1(p_x, p_y, p_w) + h_2(q_x, q_y, q_w),$$

with $\deg(h_2) \leq (\mu+1) + \mu - n$. As we have supposed $\mu + 1 \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then $2\mu + 1 - n \leq -1$, so h_2 must be identically zero. Then, we have that $(A(t-\alpha+\epsilon), B(t-\alpha), C(t-\alpha)) = h_1(t)(p_x, p_y, p_w)$, with $\deg(h_1) = 1$.

Comparing the first coordinate of the last equality, we have that $h_1(t) = \lambda'(t-\alpha+\epsilon)$, $\lambda' \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. In addition, if we compare the two last coordinates, we will have that $(t-\alpha)$ divides both p_y and p_w, which contradicts our hypothesis. So, we have that $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = \mu(a, b, c) + 1$ and the claim holds.

Example 3.3. We will show here that, in order to construct to approximation family, if we take any root α of a without imposing the condition that it should not be simultaneously root of p_y and p_w, the sequence (3) may fail to have degree $\mu+1$. Consider again, as in Example 3.1,

$$a := (t-1)^2,\ b = t^5 - t^4 - t^2,\ c = -t^5 + t^4 + t.$$

If we take as α the unique root of a, then we will have that

$$a_\epsilon = (t-1)^2 + \epsilon(t-1),\ b_\epsilon = b,\ c_\epsilon = c.$$

This is not an approximating sequence, because $\mu(a, b, c) = 1$ and, as

$$ta_\epsilon + (t-1+\epsilon)b_\epsilon + (t-1+\epsilon)c_\epsilon = 0,$$

then we have that $\mu(a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon) = 1$.

In this case, we may choose $\lambda = -\frac{1}{12}$. One can check that $\alpha = 2$ is a root of $a + \lambda b$, and with this choice of α, we get the following family:

$$a_\epsilon = -\tfrac{t^5}{12} + \tfrac{t^4}{12} + \tfrac{13}{12}t^2 - 2t + 1 + \epsilon(-\tfrac{1}{12}t^4 - \tfrac{1}{12}t^3 - \tfrac{1}{6}t^2 + \tfrac{3}{4}t - \tfrac{1}{2}),$$
$$b_\epsilon = b,\ c_\epsilon = c.$$

An easy calculation shows that this deformed family has class equal to two, so we get an approximating family of $(a + \frac{1}{2}b, b, c)$, and hence $(a + \epsilon(-\frac{1}{12}t^4 - \frac{1}{12}t^3 - \frac{1}{6}t^2 + \frac{3}{4}t - \frac{1}{2}), b, c)$ is an approximating family of (a, b, c).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank David Cox for his careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions. This research was conducted while I was a postdoctoral fellow at the Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA) in Sophia-Antipolis, France, partially supported by Action A00E02 of the ECOS-SeTCIP French-Argentina bilateral collaboration.

References

[1] F. Chen, and T. Sederberg. *A new implicit representation of a planar rational curve with high order singularity.* Comput. Aided Geom. Design 19 (2002), n9. 2, 151–167.

[2] F. Chen, J. Zheng, and T. Sederberg. *The μ-basis of a rational ruled surface.* Comput. Aided Geom. Design 18 (2001), no. 1, 61–72.

[3] F. Chen, and W. Wang. *The μ-basis of a planar rational curve – properties and computation.* Preprint 2002.

[4] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea. *Using Algebraic Geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 185. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[5] D. Cox, T. Sederberg and F. Chen. *The moving line ideal basis of planar rational curves.* Comput. Aided Geom. Des. **15** (1998), 803–827.

[6] J. Zheng, and T. Sederberg. *A direct approach to computing the μ-basis of planar rational curves.* J. Symbolic Comput. 31 (2001), no. 5, 619–629.

Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires. Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires. Argentina.

E-mail address: cdandrea@dm.uba.ar